COMP 233 Discrete Mathematics

Chapter 4 Number Theory and Methods of Proof

1

© Susanna S. Epp, Kenneth H. Rosen, Mustafa Jarrar, Nariman TM Ammar and Ahmad Abusnaina 2005-2016, All rights reserved

Topics in this chapter

Direct Proof and Counterexample I:
 Introduction

- Direct Proof and Counterexample II:
 - Rational Numbers
- Direct Proof and Counterexample III:
 - Divisibility
- Direct Proof and Counterexample IV:
 - Prime numbers, Division into cases and Quotient-Remainder Theorem
- Proof by contradiction



Direct Proof and Counterexample I: Introduction

© Susanna S. Epp, Kenneth H. Rosen, Mustafa Jarrar, Nariman TM Ammar and Ahmad Abusnaina 2005-2016, All rights reserved

Introduction to Number Theory and Methods of Proof

Assumptions:

- Properties of the real numbers (Appendix A) "basic algebra"
- Logic
- Properties of equality:

A = AIf A = B, then B = A. If A = B and B = C, then A = C.

- Integers are 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., -1, -2, -3, ...
- Any sum, difference, or product of integers is an integer.
- most quotients of integers are not integers. For example, 3 ÷ 2, which equals 3/2, is not an integer, and 3 ÷ 0 is not even a number.

© Susanna S. Epp, Kenneth H. Rosen, Mustafa Jarrar, Nariman TM Ammar and Ahmad Abusnaina 2005-2018, All rights reserved

Overview

Complete the following sentences:

An integer *n* is even if, and only if *n* is equal to twice some integer.

An integer **n** is odd if, and only if *n* is equal to twice some integer plus 1. n=2k+1

An integer *n* is **prime** if, and only if, n > 1 and for all positive integers *r* and *s*, if n = rs, then either *r* or *s* equals *n*. An integer *n* is **composite** if, and only if, n > 1 and n = rs for some integers *r* and *s* with 1 < r < n and 1 < s < n.

In symbols:

n is prime \Leftrightarrow \forall positive integers *r* and *s*, if n = rsthen either r = 1 and s = n or r = n and s = 1. *n* is composite \Leftrightarrow \exists positive integers *r* and *s* such that n = rsand 1 < r < n and 1 < s < n.

An integer *n* is prime if, and only if,

n > 1 and the only positive integer divisors of *n* are 1 and *n*.

5

Even and Odd Integers Use the definitions of *even* and odd to justify your answers to the following questions. a. Is 0 even? b. Is -301 odd? c. If *a* and *b* are integers, is $6a^{2}b$ even? d. If *a* and *b* are integers, is 10a + 8b + 1 odd? e. Is every integer either even or odd?

Solution

a. Yes, 0 = 2.0. b. Yes, -301 = 2(-151) + 1. c. Yes, $6a^{2}b = 2(3a^{2}b)$, and since a and b are integers, so is $6a^2b$ (being a product of integers). d. Yes, 10a + 8b + 1 = 2(5a + 1)(4b) + 1, and since *a* and *b* are integers, so is 5a + 4b(being a sum of products of integers). e. The answer is yes, although the proof is not obvious.

How to (dis)approve statements

Before (dis)approving, write a math statements as a Universal or an Existential Statement:

	Proving	Disapproving
∃ <i>x</i> ∈D . Q(<i>x</i>)	One example Constructive Proof	Negate then direct proof
∀ <i>x</i> ∈D . Q(<i>x</i>)	1- Exhaustion 2- Direct proof	Counter example

Proving Existential Statements constructive proofs of existence

- > a. Prove the following: ∃ an even integer *n* that can be written in two ways as a sum of two prime numbers.
- Let n = 10. Then 10 = 5 + 5 = 3 + 7 and 3, 5, and 7 are all prime numbers.
- b. Suppose that r and s are integers.
- Prove the following: \exists an integer k such that 22r + 18s = 2k. Let k = 11r + 9s.
- Then k is an integer because it is a sum of products of integers; and by substitution, 2k = 2(11r + 9s), which equals 22r + 18s by the distributive law of algebra.

Proving Universal Statements

The majority of mathematical statements to be proved are universal.

 $\forall x \in \mathbf{D} : P(x) \to Q(x)$

One way to prove such statements is called The Method of Exhaustion, by listing all cases.

Use the method of exhaustion to prove the following: $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$, if *n* is even and $4 \le n \le 12$, then *n* can be written as a sum of two prime numbers. 4 = 2 + 2 6 = 3 + 3 8 = 3 + 5 10 = 5 + 512 = 5 + 7

→ This method is obviously impractical, as we cannot check all possibilities.

Proving a Universal Statement Over a Finite Set

Method of Exhaustion: Prove that every even integer from 2 through 10 can be expressed as a sum of at most 3 perfect squares.

Proof: $2 = 1^{2} + 1^{2}$ $4 = 2^{2}$ $6 = 2^{2} + 1^{2} + 1^{2}$ $8 = 2^{2} + 2^{2}$ $10 = 3^{2} + 1^{2}$

<u>Note</u>: The method of exhaustion only works for relatively small finite sets.

Direct Proofs

Method of Generalizing from the Generic Particular: If a property can be shown to be true for a particular but arbitrarily chosen element of a set, then it is true for every element of the set.

Method of Direct Proof

1.Express the statement to be proved in the form " $\forall x \in D$, $P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$." (This step is often done mentally.)

2.Start the proof by supposing x is a particular but arbitrarily chosen element of D for which the hypothesis P(x) is true. (This step is often abbreviated "Suppose $x \in D$ and P(x).")

3.Show that the conclusion Q(x) is true by using definitions, previously established results, and the rules for logical inference.

Generalizing from the Generic Particular

suppose x is a *particular* but *arbitrarily chosen* element of the set

Step	Visual Result	Algebraic Result
Pick a number.		х
Add 5.		<i>x</i> + 5
Multiply by 4.		$(x+5)\cdot 4 = 4x + 20$
Subtract 6.		(4x + 20) - 6 = 4x + 14
Divide by 2.		$\frac{4x + 14}{2} = 2x + 7$
Subtract twice the original number.	 	(2x+7) - 2x = 7

Example

Prove that the sum of any two even integers is even.

Formal Restatement: $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Even $(m) \land \text{Even}(n) \rightarrow \text{Even}(m + n)$

Starting Point: Suppose m and n are even [particular but arbitrarily chosen]

We want to Show: m+n is even

By definition m = 2k n = 2jFor some integers k and j m+n = 2k + 2j = 2(k+j)Let r = (k+j) is integer, because r is some of integers Thus: m+n = 2r, that mean m+n is even

[This is what we needed to show.]

Let's Use Direct Proofs!

- Question: Is the sum of an even integer plus an odd integer always even? always odd? sometimes even and sometimes odd?
- integers x and y, if x is even and y is odd, then x + y is odd.
- Assume X is even and Y is odd p.b.a.c
- ∀ We want to show that X+Y is odd
- ∀ X=2k
- $\forall Y = 2j+1$
- ∀ For some integers k and j
- $\forall X+Y = 2k+2j + 1$
- $\forall = 2(k+j)+1$
- \forall M=(k+j), M is an integer BCZ sum of integers is int.
- $\forall X+Y = 2M+1$ is an Odd [This is what we needed to show.]

Let's Use Direct Proofs!

Question: Is the difference between of an even integer and an odd integer always even? always odd? sometimes even and sometimes odd?

- integers x and y, if x is even and y is odd, then x y is odd.
- Assume X is even and Y is odd p.b.a.c
- ∀ We want to show that X-Y is odd
- ∀ X=2k
- $\forall Y = 2j+1$
- \forall For some integers k and j
- $\forall X-Y = 2k-(2j + 1) = 2k-2j-1$
- \forall = 2(k-j-1)+1 =
- \forall M=(k-j-1), M is an integer BCZ some of integers.
- $\forall X-Y = 2M+1 \text{ is an Odd}$ [This is what we needed to show.]

15

Prove or disprove?

■ If k is odd and m is even, then K²+m² is odd

Question: If *k* is an integer, is 2k - 1 an odd integer?

Reference: An integer is **odd** \Leftrightarrow it can be expressed as 2 times some integer plus 1.

Answer: Yes. Explanation: Note that k - 1 is an integer because it is a difference of integers. And

$$2(k-1) + 1 = 2k - 2 + 1$$
$$= 2k - 1$$

Scratch work: Want: $2k - 1 = 2(\square) + 1$ \uparrow an integer So we want: $2k - 1 = 2(\square) + 1$ $\Rightarrow 2k - 2 = 2(\square)$ $\Rightarrow 2(k - 1) = 2(\square)$ $\Rightarrow k - 1 = \square$

Disproving an Existential Statement

- Show that the following statement is false:
 There is a positive integer *n* such that *n*² + 3*n* + 2 is prime.
- Proving that the given statement is false is equivalent to proving its negation is true.
- The negation is
 For all positive integers n, n² + 3n + 2 is not prime.
 Because the negation is universal, it is proved by generalizing from the generic particular.
- **Claim:** The statement "There is a positive integer *n* such that $n^2 + 3n + 2$ is prime" is false.

Disproving an Existential Statement

Proof:

Suppose *n* is any *[particular but arbitrarily chosen]* positive integer.

- [We will show that $n^2 + 3n + 2$ is not prime.]
- We can factor $n^2 + 3n + 2$ to obtain

$$n^{2} + 3n + 2 = (n + 1)(n + 2).$$

- We also note that n + 1 and n + 2 are integers
 (because they are sums of integers) and that both n + 1 > 1 and n + 2 > 1 (because n ≥ 1).
- Thus $n^2 + 3n + 2$ is a product of two integers each greater than 1, and so $n^2 + 3n + 2$ is not prime.

Directions for Writing Proofs

- 1. Copy the statement of the theorem to be proved onto your paper.
- Clearly mark the beginning of your proof with the word "<u>Proof</u>."
- **3**. Write your proof in complete sentences.
- **4**. Make your proof self-contained. *(E.g., introduce all variables)*
- **5**. Give a reason for each assertion in your proof.
- 6. Include the "little words" that make the logic of your arguments clear. (*E.g., then, thus, therefore, so, hence, because, since, Notice that, etc.*)
- Make use of definitions but do not include them verbatim in the body of your proof.

Common Proof-Writing Mistakes

- **1**. Arguing from examples.
- **2**. Using the same letter to mean two different things.
- **3**. Jumping to a conclusion/ Assuming what to be proved.

4. .

5. Misuse of the word "if."



Direct Proof and Counterexample II: Rational Numbers

© Susanna S. Epp, Kenneth H. Rosen, Mustafa Jarrar, Nariman TM Ammar and Ahmad Abusnaina 2005-2016, All rights reserved

Rational Numbers

Definition: A real number is **rational** if, and only if, it can be written as a ratio of integers with a nonzero denominator. *In symbols* :

r is **rational** \Leftrightarrow \exists integers *a* and *b* such that r = a/b and $b \neq 0$.

Examples: Identify which of the following numbers are rational. Justify your answers.

1. 43.205 This number is rational: $43.205 = \frac{43205}{1000}$

More Examples

2. $-\frac{6}{5}$ This number is rational: $-\frac{1}{5}$

3. 0 This number is rational:

$$-\frac{6}{5} = \frac{-6}{5} = \frac{6}{-5}.$$
$$0 = \frac{0}{1}.$$

4. 21.34343434...

Let x = 21.34343434...Then 100x = 2134.343434...So 100x - x = 2134.343434... - 21.34343434..., i.e., 99x = 2113. Thus x = 2113/99, a rational number.

25

Another Example

Zero Product Property: If any two nonzero real numbers are multiplied, the product is nonzero.

Example: Suppose *m* and *n* are nonzero integers. Is $\frac{m}{n} + \frac{n}{m}$ a rational number? Explain.

Solution: By algebra,

$$\frac{m}{n} + \frac{n}{m} = \frac{m^2}{mn} + \frac{n^2}{mn} = \frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn}$$

Now both $m^2 + n^2$ and mn are integers because products and sums of integers are integers. Also mn is nonzero by the zero product property. Thus $\frac{m}{n} + \frac{n}{m}$ is a rational number.

Zero Product Property: If any two nonzero real numbers are multiplied, the product is nonzero.

© Susanna S. Epp, Kenneth H. Rosen, Mustafa Jarrar, and Nariman TM Ammar 2005-2016, All rights reserved

Example, cont.

True or false? A product of any two rational numbers is a rational number.

(\forall real numbers x and y, if x and y are rational then xy is rational.)

Solution: This is true.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose x and y are any rational numbers.

[We must show that xy is rational.]

By definition of rational, x = a/b and y = c/d for some integers a, b, c, and d with $b \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$. Then $xy = \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d}$ by substitution

 $=\frac{ac}{bd}$ by algebra.

But *ac* and *bd* are integers bcoz they are products of integers, and $bd \neq 0$ by the zero product property.

Thus *xy* is a ratio of integers with a nonzero denominator, and hence *xy* is rational by definition of rational.

Final Example! (of this group)

True or false? A quotient of any two rational numbers is a rational number.

Solution: This is false.

<u>Counterexample</u>: Consider the numbers 1 and 0. Both are rational because $1 = \frac{1}{1}$ and $0 = \frac{0}{1}$. Then $\frac{1}{0}$ is a quotient of two rational numbers, but it is not even a real number. So it is not a rational number.

28

Theorem 4.2.2

The sum of any two rational numbers is rational.

Proof:

Suppose r and s are rational numbers. [We must show that r + s is rational.] Then, by definition of rational, r = a/b and s = c/d for some integers a, b, c, and d with $b \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$. Thus

$$r + s = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}$$
 by substitution
 $= \frac{ad + bc}{bd}$ by basic algebra.

Let p = ad + bc and q = bd. Then p and q are integers because products and sums of integers are integers and because a, b, c, and d are all integers. Also $q \neq 0$ by the zero product property. Thus

$$r + s = \frac{p}{q}$$
 where p and q are integers and $q \neq 0$.

Therefore, r + s is rational by definition of a rational number. [This is what was to be shown.]

79



Direct Proof and Counterexample III: Divisibility

© Susanna S. Epp, Kenneth H. Rosen, Mustafa Jarrar, Nariman TM Ammar and Ahmad Abusnaina 2005-2016, All rights reserved

Definition: Given any integers *n* and *d*,

 \Leftrightarrow

d is a factor of n d is a divisor of n d divides n d | n n is divisible by d n is a multiple of d

These are different ways to describe the relationship *n* equals *d* times some integer

 \exists an integer k so that $n = d \times k$



Note: n, d, and k are integers

Examples

1. Is 18 divisible by 6? *Answer*: Yes, 18 = 6.3.

2. Does 3 divide 15? *Answer*: Yes, 15 = 3.5.

3. Does 5 | 30? *Answer*: Yes, 30 = 5.6.

4. Is 32 a multiple of 8? *Answer*: Yes, 32 = 8.4.
2. Does 12 divide 0? *Answer*: Yes, 0 = 12.0.

5. If *d* is any integer, does *d* divide 0? *Answer*: Yes, $0 = d \cdot 0$.

32

Examples, continued

Theorm: If *a* and *b* are positive integers and $a \mid b$, then $a \leq b$.

6. *C*onsequence : Which integers divide 1? *Answer*: Only 1 and -1.

7. If *m* and *n* are integers, is 10*m* + 25*n* divisible by 5?
Answer: Yes. 10*m* + 25*n* = 5(2*m* + 5*n*) and 2*m* + 5*n* is an integer bcz it is a sum of products of integers.

Notes

Note: $d \mid n \Leftrightarrow \exists$ an integer k such that n = dk. Thus: $d \nmid n \Leftrightarrow \forall$ integers k, $n \neq dk$ $\Leftrightarrow d \neq 0$ and n/d is not an integer Example: Does 5 | 12? Solution: No: 12/5 is not an integer.



34

Transitivity of Divisibility Theorem

The **"transitivity of divisibility"** theorem \forall integers *a*, *b*, and *c*, if *a* | *b* and *b* | *c*, then *a* | *c*.

Example

Prove: \forall integers *a*, *b*, and *c*, if *a* | *b* and *b* | *c*, then *a* | *c*.

(Note: The full proof is on page 174)

Starting point for this proof:

Suppose a, b, and c are [pbac – particular but arbitrarily chosen integers] such that a | b and b | c.

Ending point (what must be shown): $a \mid c$.

Since a|b and b|c then b= as and c = bt for some integers s and t.

7o show that a|c, we need to show that c = a (some integer)
We know that c=bt, then we can substitute the expression for b into the equation for c. Thus, c=ast. s and t are integers, so st is an integer. Let st=k, then c=ka. Therefore a|c by definition.

36

Disproof: To disprove a statement means to show that the statement is false.

Prove or Disprove the following statement:

```
For all integers a and b, if a \mid b^2 then a \mid b.
```

What do you have to do to show that this statement is false?

Answer: Show that the negation of the statement is true. *The negation is:*

There exist integers a and b such that a divides b^2 and a does not divide b.

Think about the negation when you look for counterexample.

Counterexample: Let a = 4 and b = 6. Then $b^2 = 36$, and a divides b^2 because $36 = 4 \cdot 9$. But 4 does not divide 6 because $6/4 = 1\frac{1}{2}$, which is not an integer.

Prime and Composite Numbers

Definition: An integer *n* is **prime** if, and only if, n > 1 and the only positive factors of *n* are 1 and *n*. An integer *n* is **composite** if, and only if, it is not prime; i.e., n > 1 and n = rs for some positive integers *r* and *s* where neither *r* nor *s* is 1.

Note: An integer *n* is **composite** if, and only if, n > 1 and n = rs for some positive integers *r* and *s* where 1 < r < n and 1 < s < n.



Unique Factorization Theorem

Unique Factorization Theorem for the Integers: Given any integer *n* > 1, either *n* is prime or *n* can be written as a product of prime numbers in a way that is unique, except, possibly, for the order in which the numbers are written.

Ex. 1: $500 = 5 \cdot 100 = 5 \cdot 25 \cdot 4 = 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 = 2^2 5^3 \leftarrow \text{standard factored form}$

Ex. 2:
$$500^3 = (2^25^3)^3 = (2^25^3)(2^25^3)(2^25^3) = 2^65^9$$

Because of the unique factorization theorem, any integer n > 1 can be put into a *standard factored form* in which the prime

factors are written in ascending order from left to right

Definition

Given any integer n > 1, the **standard factored form** of n is an expression of the form

$$n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} p_3^{e_3} \cdots p_k^{e_k},$$

where k is a positive integer; p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k are prime numbers; e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k are positive integers; and $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$. $1 \quad 2 \quad k$



Using Unique Factorization to Solve a Problem

Suppose *m* is an integer such that

8.7.6.5.4.*3*.2.*m*=17.16.15.14.13.12.11.10

Does 17 | *m*?

Solution:

*

- Since 17 is one of the prime factors of the right-hand side of the equation, it is also a prime factor of the left-hand side (by the unique factorization of integers theorem).
- But 17 does not equal any prime factor of 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, or 2 (because it is too large).
 - Hence 17 must occur as one of the prime factors of *m*, and so 17 | *m*.



Direct Proof and Counterexample IV: Division into Cases and the Quotient-Remainder Theorem

© Susanna S. Epp, Kenneth H. Rosen, Mustafa Jarrar, Nariman TM Ammar and Ahmad Abusnaina 2005-2016, All rights reserved

Quotient-Remainder Theorem

For all integers *n* and positive integers *d*, there exist unique integers *q* and *r* such that

n = dq + r and $0 \le r < d$.

44

Quotient-Remainder Theorem

Suppose 14 objects are divided into groups of 3?

The result is 4 groups of 3 each with 2 left over.

We write

or, $\frac{14}{3} = 4 + \frac{2}{3}$

or, better,

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} \underline{4} & \leftarrow & quotient \\ 3 & 14 & & \\ \underline{12} & & \\ 2 & \leftarrow & remainder \end{array}$$

Note: The number left over has to be less than the size of the groups.

14 = 3.4 + 2

Quotient-Remainder Theorem

Notice that:

 $4 \boxed{11} \leftarrow \text{quotient}$ $\frac{8}{3} \leftarrow \text{remainder}$

 $11 = 2 \cdot 4 + 3.$ $\uparrow \qquad \uparrow$ 2 groups of 4 3 left over

Examples:

 $54 = 4 \cdot 13 + 2$ q = 13r = 2 $-54 = 4 \cdot (-14) + 2$ q = -14r = 2 $54 = 70 \cdot 0 + 54$ q = 0r = 54

© Susanna S. Epp, Kenneth H. Rosen, Mustafa Jarrar, Nariman TM Ammar and Ahmad Abusnaina 2005-2018, All rights reserved

Consequences

1. Apply the quotient-remainder theorem with d = 2. The result is that there exist unique integers q and r such that

n = 2q + r and $0 \le r < 2$.

What are possible values for *r*?

```
Answer: r = 0 or r = 1
```

Consequence: No matter what integer you start with, it either equals



So: Every integer is either even or odd.

© Susanna S. Epp, Kenneth H. Rosen, Mustafa Jarrar, Nariman TM Ammar and Ahmad Abusnaina 2005-2018, All rights reserved

Exercises

Ex: Find q and r if n = 23 and d = 6. Answer: q = 3 and r = 5Ex: Find q and r if n = -23 and d = 6. Answer: q = -4 and r = 1

Exercises

Similarly: Given any integer n, apply the quotient-remainder theorem with d = 3. The result is that there exist unique integers q and r such that

n = 3q + r and $0 \le r < 3$.

What are possible values for *r*?

Answer: r = 0 or r = 1 or r = 2

Consequence: Given any integer *n*, there is an integer *q* so that *n* can be written in one of the following three forms:

n = 3q, n = 3q + 1, n = 3q + 2.

3. Similarly for other values of *n*.

49

div and mod

Definition

Given an integer n and a positive integer d,

 $n \operatorname{div} d =$ the integer quotient obtained when n is divided by d, and $n \operatorname{mod} d =$ the nonnegative integer remainder obtained when n is divided by d. Symbolically, if n and d are integers and d > 0, then $n \operatorname{div} d = q$ and $n \operatorname{mod} d = r \Leftrightarrow n = dq + r$ where q and r are integers and $0 \le r < d$.

Examples:

32 div 9 = 3 $32 \mod 9 = 5$

Application of div and mod

Solving a Problem about mod

Suppose *m* is an integer. If $m \mod 11 = 6$, what is $4m \mod 11$?

m = 11q + 6.

4m = 44q + 24 = 44q + 22 + 2 = 11(4q + 2) + 2.

 $4m \mod 11 = 2.$

Application of div and mod

- Suppose today is Tuesday, what is the day of the week after one year from today.
- Assume not leap.
- Week=7 days
- Year = 365 days
- 365 div 7 = 52 365 mod 7 = 1
- 365=7*52+1
- Therefore the day will be Wednsday.

Method of Proof by Division into Cases

Method of Proof by Division into Cases

To prove a statement of the form "If A_1 or A_2 or ... or A_n , then C," prove all of the following: If A_1 , then C,

If A_2 , then C,

If A_n , then C.

This process shows that C is true regardless of which of A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n happens to be the case.

Any two consecutive integers have opposite parity.

- Proof: Suppose that two *pbac* consecutive integers are given; **m** and **m+1**.
- [We must show that one of m and m+1 is even and that the other is odd.]
- We break the proof into two cases depending on whether m is even or odd.
- Case1(m is even): m =2k for some integer k, and so m+1=2k+1, which is odd [by definition of odd]. Hence in this case, one of m and m+1 is even and the other is odd.

Any two consecutive integers have opposite parity.

- **Case 2** (*m is odd*): In this case, m = 2k+1 for some integer k, and so
 - m+1=(2k+1)+1=2k+2=2(k+1).
 - Let c=k+1 is an integer because it is a sum of two integers. m+1=2c
 - Therefore, m+1 equals twice some integer, and thus m+1 is even. Hence in this case also, one of m and m+1 is even and the other is odd.
 - It follows that regardless of which case actually occurs for the particular m and m+1 that are chosen, one of m and m+1 is even and the other is odd. [This is what was to be shown.]

Recall: Representing Integers using the quotient-remainder theorem Let d = 4 (Integers Modulo 4)

There exist an integer quotient q and a remainder r such that

n = 4q + r and $0 \le r < 4$.

Thus, any integer can be represented as:

n=4q or n=4q+1 or n=4q+2 or n=4q+3

Example

Theorem 4.4.3

The square of any odd integer has the form 8m + 1 for some integer m.

Proof: $\forall n \in Odd, \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}$. $n^2 = 8m + 1$.

Hint: any odd integer can be 4q+1 or 4q+3.

Case 1 (n=4q+1):

 $n^2 = 8m + 1 = (4q+1)^2 = 16q^2 + 8q + 1 = 8(2q^2 + q) + 1$ Let $(2q^2 + q)$ be an integer *m*, thus $n^2 = 8m + 1$

Case 2 (4q+3):

$$n^2 = 8m + 1 = (4q+3)^2 = 16q^2 + 24q + 8 + 1$$

= $8(2q^2 + 3q+1) + 1$
Let $(2q^2 + 3q+1)$ be an integer *m*, thus $n^2 = 8m + 1$

Overview, cont.

What is the **quotient-remainder** theorem? For all integers *n* and positive integers *d*, there exist unique integers *q* and *r* such that n = dq + r and $0 \le r < d$. 43 = 8 * 5 + 3

What is the "transitivity of divisibility" theorem? \forall integers *a*, *b*, and *c*, if *a* | *b* and *b* | *c*, then *a* | *c*.

Disproving a Universal Statement

Most Common Method: Find a counterexample!

Example Is the following statement true or false? Explain. \forall real numbers *x*, if $x^2 > 25$ then x > 5.

Solution: The statement is false. <u>Counterexample</u>: Let x = -6. Then $x^2 = (-6)^2 = 36$, and 36 > -6 but $-6 \neq 5$. So (for this x), $x^2 > 25$ and $x \neq 5$.

59

Disproof by Counterexample

$$\forall a,b \in \mathbf{R} : a^2 = b^2 \rightarrow a = b.$$

Counterexample: Let a = 1 and b = -1. Then $a^2 = 1^2 = 1$ and $b^2 = (-1)^2 = 1$, 1, and so $a^2 = b^2$. But $a \neq b$ since $1 \neq -1$.